

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/488,527 | 01/21/2000 | Kesayoshi Iguchi | 0102/0095 | 4569 |
| 75 | 590 04/11/2002 | | | |
| Law Office of Louis Woo | | | EXAMINER | |
| 19101 North Fort Myer Drive Suite 501 Arlington, VA 22209 | | | RUDY, ANDREW J | |
| Arington, VA | 22209 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2167 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/11/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | • | IGUCHI et al. | | | |
| | | 09/488,527 | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Examiner | 2167 | | | |
| * | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | Andrew Joseph Rudy | | | | |
| | Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 J | lanuary 2002 | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | | is action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| ·— | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | |
| • • | ion Papers | _ | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) | 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 11) | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 117 | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| / | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Information Patent Application (PTO-152) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other: | | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/488,527

Art Unit: 2167

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-33 are pending. Examiner Rudy apologizes for the inadvertent error noted by Applicant's counsel of not including claim 33 in the previous Office Action, Paper No. 6.

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the sentence structure is not clear (e.g. line 2 "setting data every article"). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Also, applicant is required to correct the entire body of the specification by incorporating proper sentence structure throughout (e.g. page 5, line 17 "setting data every article").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1-33 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Savage in view of either Cahlander et al., US 4,922,435; Cahlander et al., US 5,132,914 or Cahlander et al. US 5,172,328. Applicants remarks have been reviewed.

Savage shows a food predicting system. See Paper No. 4.

Cahlander et al., US 4,922,435; Cahlander et al., US 5,132,914 or Cahlander et al., US 5,172,328, show a method for cooking food orders at peak and non-peak time periods using computer controlled command signals (e.g. columns. 2-3 and claims 33-36 of Cahlander et al. US 5,172,328).

To provide a method for displaying peak and non-peak order of food and computer controlled command signals for the food predicting system of Savage would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of either Cahlander et al., US 4,922,435; Cahlander et

Application/Control Number: 09/488,527

Art Unit: 2167

al., US 5,132,914, or Cahlander et al. US 5,172,328. To do so would provide the well known option of using computer control command signals used in food systems.

4. Further references of interest included in the previous Office Action, Paper No. 6:

Halverson, US 6,298,331, shows a computer dining management system.

Walker et al., US 6,298,331, shows an automated kitchen apparatus.

Teicher, US 6,102,162, shows an automated cafeteria system.

Cotter, US 4,797,818, shows a computerized food delivery/order system incorporating different time of day periods.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/488,527

Art Unit: 2167

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 703-308-7808.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Robert Olszewski can be reached on 703-308-2183. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7239 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Andrew Joseph Ridy

March 18, 2002

Richard Chilcot
Supervisory Patent Examinar
Technology Center 2890